

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 15-1172V

Filed: October 25, 2016

UNPUBLISHED

CAROL A. MARSYLA,

*

*

Petitioner,

*

v.

*

*

Attorneys' Fees and Costs;

SECRETARY OF HEALTH

*

Special Processing Unit ("SPU")

AND HUMAN SERVICES,

*

*

Respondent.

*

*

Kate Gerayne Westad, Larkin Hoffman, et al., Ltd., Minneapolis, MN, for petitioner.

Debra A. Filteau Begley, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS¹

Dorsey, Chief Special Master:

On October 9, 2015, Carol Marsyla ("petitioner") filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,² (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleged that she received a tetanus, diphtheria, acellular pertussis ("Tdap") vaccine on November 8, 2013, and subsequently suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration ("SIRVA"). Petition at 1. On March 21, 2016, the undersigned issued a decision awarding compensation to petitioner based on respondent's proffer. (ECF No. 18).

On September 27, 2016, petitioner filed an unopposed motion for attorneys' fees and costs. (ECF No. 26).³ Petitioner requests attorneys' fees in the amount of

¹ Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, the undersigned intends to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all "§" references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

³ In the motion, petitioner states that respondent has no objection to petitioner's request.

\$8,820.00, and attorneys' costs in the amount of \$1,512.39, for a total amount of \$10,332.39, paid to the law firm of Lommen Abdo, P.A., and attorneys' fees in the amount of \$1,065.00 (no costs), paid to the Larkin Hoffman Law Firm. *Id.* at 1-2. In accordance with General Order #9, petitioner's counsel represents that petitioner incurred no out-of-pocket expenses.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. § 15(e). Based on the reasonableness of petitioner's request and the lack of opposition from respondent, the undersigned **GRANTS** petitioner's motion for attorneys' fees and costs.

Accordingly, the undersigned awards the following:

(a) a total of \$10,332.39⁴ as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to petitioner and petitioner's counsel, the law firm of Lommen Abdo, P.A., and

(b) a total of \$1,065.00⁵ as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to petitioner and petitioner's counsel, the Larkin Hoffman Law Firm.

The clerk of the court shall enter judgment in accordance herewith.⁶

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Nora Beth Dorsey

Nora Beth Dorsey
Chief Special Master

⁴ This amount is intended to cover all legal expenses incurred in this matter. This award encompasses all charges by the attorney against a client, "advanced costs" as well as fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, § 15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) that would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. See *generally Beck v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir.1991).

⁵ This amount is intended to cover all legal expenses incurred in this matter. This award encompasses all charges by the attorney against a client, "advanced costs" as well as fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, § 15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) that would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. See *generally Beck v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir.1991).

⁶ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.